Please find requested input regarding Technical Facilitator.

Thanks,
Community Input Regarding Technical Facilitation

Name (Optional): [Redacted]

1. What are your expectations for a technical facilitator's focus (e.g. Niagara Falls Storage Site Feasibility Study) and role?
I would expect the technical facilitator to first focus on the significant data gaps/omissions of the NFSS Remedial Investigation (RI). These are:

No investigation of Interim Waste Containment Structure (IWCS) leakage
No investigation of the preferential pathways identified, by the RI, in the vicinity of the IWCS
No investigation of the impact of adjacent landfill operations on the IWCS
No investigation/assessment of contamination in the disused water lines on the NFSS

How much confidence can the community have in a Feasibility Study (FS), which lacks so much vital RI information?

2. What qualifications are you looking for in a technical facilitator?
The technical facilitator should have a strong technical background and be familiar with remediation of nuclear facilities.

3. What are your preferred means/methods of communicating with a technical facilitator (e.g., meetings, e-mail, telephone, conference calls, etc.) and frequency?
E-mail, telephone and conference calls.

4. What are your expected outcomes for technically-facilitated discussions?
Technically-facilitated discussions would provide an opportunity for more efficient two way dialogue between the community and USACE. This is currently no forum in which to discuss technical issues in the depth required.
Please complete and place this form in the comment box before leaving the workshop. Or, please mail your responses to the address below:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
FUSRAP Team
1776 Niagara Street
Buffalo, NY 14207

Or, e-mail your responses to: fusrap@usace.army.mil by December 3, 2010.