

200-101

Correspondence

Page 6

577

Seaway

[Redacted]

Thursday, February 25, 1999.

DI-17

~~DI-17~~

22 Newell Apt. 6  
Tonawanda, N.Y.

14150

(716) 694-0393

Dear Mr. [Redacted],

Today, I attended SAIC's presentation, discussing the FUSRAP radioactive waste clean-up, at the Seaway Landfill located in Tonawanda, N.Y.

As a concerned citizen, interested in applying the highest standards to clean-up radioactive waste, I would appreciate answers to the following questions:

(1) Is FUSRAP waste the same as MED waste? If not, what are the differences?

(2) Has your company concluded that there is no FUSRAP or MED waste, located in the large portion of the landfill, behind sections A, B & C, and farthest from the river? What reports were used to reach this conclusion?

(3) Has anyone taken air samples to measure radon gas concentrations above ground level, in areas A, B, & C? If so, how do these measurements compare to those taken in the large portion of the landfill, behind sections A, B, & C, and farthest from the river?

Also, how do these measurements compare to others, taken at landfills around the United States, that are known, not to contain radioactive wastes, either FUSRAP or MED, or man-made from production of commercial or consumer products?

(4) If, clean-up solution #2 were implemented, does your company conclude that sections A, B, and C, could be designated "unrestricted use"? Would this designation be suitable for growing crops? Would fencing and hazardous waste, danger signs, need to be posted around the entire landfill?

(5) If, clean-up solution #6 were implemented, would the 5' cap to cover sections A, B, & C be vented or not vented?

(6) Does your company know of any national, or, international, scientific efforts underway, to render man-made radioactive wastes harmless to humans and the environment?

Sincerely,

