

DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): June 29, 2018

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Buffalo District - Conti, Nancy – LRB-2018-00680

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: New York County: Oneida City: Waterville

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.876195 °, Long. -75.397503 °

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Sangerfield River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Chenango, 02050102

- Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
- Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 28, 2018

Field Determination. Date(s): June 7, 2013

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are **no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are **no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Click here to enter text.](#)
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: Chenango, 02050102
- USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K Oriskany Falls
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Online Soil Survey accessed 6/8/2018
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Online NWI mapper, accessed 6/28/2018
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Online state wetland map, accessed 6/8/2018
- FEMA/FIRM maps: [Click here to enter text.](#)
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: [Click here to enter text.](#) (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Map 2017; 2008 CIR Aerial; 2013 CIR Aerial
- or Other (Name & Date): [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Applicable/supporting case law: [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: [Click here to enter text.](#)
- Other information (please specify): [Click here to enter text.](#)

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: A site visit was conducted on 6/7/2018. The site is maintained lawn with predominant species of plants throughout the yard consisting Upland and FACU plants, Clover (*Trifolium repens*), Dandelion (*Taraxacum officianle*), Orange Hawkweed (*Hieracium Aurantiacum*), and Chickweed (*Stellaria media*), with some FAC plants, Creeping Buttercup (*Ranunculus repens*), mixed in. The border of the property was also walked to make a comparison in vegetation, predominant plants were Upland and FACU plants: Burdock (*Arctium minus*), and milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*). Additionally, there is no evidence of hydrology within the review area. Based on the lack of hydrophytic vegetation and the lack of hydrology, the entire review does not meet the criteria to be classified as wetland.

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.